

~~SECRET~~

0010 0-2703

# CONFIDENTIAL

19 May 1960

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT: Sprague Committee Meetings  
23 and 24 May 1960

1. This memorandum is for the information of the DCI.
2. I called Mr. Nielsen this afternoon to ask for the proposed agenda for the meetings of the Sprague Committee on 23 and 24 May. He said that the first item on the agenda was a discussion of the recommendation contained in the report on RFE and RL to the effect that VOA broadcasts to Soviet Russia should be increased. There was some discussion at the last meeting of the desirability of increasing unjammed VOA broadcasts and Messrs. Gray and Hare both indicated skepticism as to whether a case for increased VOA broadcasts had actually been made. Since the last meeting there are indications that the Soviets have resumed jamming and, in view of the current political situation, a definitive judgment on the desirability of increasing VOA broadcasts is going to be even more difficult and speculative.
3. In addition to VOA, the agenda includes consideration of a number of staff papers on the following subjects:
  - a. The non-military aspects of certain military programs including the effect of training foreign military personnel in the United States.
  - b. Three geographical papers - (1) Soviet Bloc, (2) China and (3) Latin America.
  - c. Three papers characterized by Mr. Nielsen as minor - (1) The Impact of Labor Activities Abroad, (2) The Impact of Business Abroad and (3) The Impact of Private American Mass Media on Foreign Public Opinion.

DOCUMENT NO. 4  
NO CHANGE IN CLASS. ☐  
☐ DECLASSIFIED  
CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS S (C)  
NEXT REVIEW DATE: 1990

CONFIDENTIAL

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

## CONFIDENTIAL

d. Ways of developing greater flexibility in the procedures and funding of mass media controlled by the Government.

4. You will note that the list of papers proposed for discussion includes one on the Soviet bloc. I am attaching a preliminary draft of this paper which, as of two weeks ago, would in all probability, with minor amendments, have been a perfectly acceptable paper. In view of the current international situation, however, it seems to me that any judgment of the validity of the premises of the paper is bound to be at best highly speculative and it seems to me that the Committee can hardly be expected to reach a responsible conclusion with respect to the desirability of [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] until the situation clarifies. I have urged Mr. Nielsen to postpone consideration of the paper, pointing out that discussion of these recommendations at this particular moment is likely to develop into rather futile debate about the course of future events. I said it would be difficult to secure Mr. Amory's views on the paper prior to the meeting scheduled for May 23rd and that I thought that you would wish to give him an adequate opportunity to consider the paper's conclusions concerning probable political trends and developments. Mr. Nielsen said that he would try to persuade Mr. Sprague to postpone consideration of the paper. He had been previously reluctant to do this because of the effect which a postponement might have on the Committee's schedule. The only alternative paper available for discussion is the one on comparative scientific and technological developments (a copy of a preliminary draft of which was sent to you this morning). Mr. Sprague wished to postpone consideration of this paper until the meeting scheduled for 6 June as Messrs. Jackson and Reed are both interested in the subject and neither will be present for the Committee meetings next week. If consideration of both papers is deferred, the meeting scheduled for 24 May may be cancelled. (In my opinion this would be a very good idea.)

5. Finally, Mr. Nielsen referred to the matter of the U-2. He felt that the Committee could hardly disregard this incident which, in all its ramifications, has obviously had enormous impact on foreign opinion, etc. He said that the concern of the Committee, as he saw it, would not include the wisdom of particular decisions on particular

~~SECRET~~

CONFIDENTIAL

~~SECRET~~  
CONFIDENTIAL

aspects of the incident. He felt, however, that the Committee would be justifiably concerned with the question of whether or not there was adequate consultation with officials charged with responsibility for the so-called "P" factor prior to decisions likely to affect or influence foreign attitudes. The Committee's concern would therefore be with the adequacy of the procedures for affording appropriate consultation with public relations officials prior to the formulation of decisions likely to have consequences in terms of public opinion. I told Mr. Nielsen that the whole question of the U-2 incident was obviously highly complex and obviously involved issues of great sensitivity. I suggested that if he wished to raise the matter, he raise it in terms of a question of whether and how the Committee should address itself to this problem. I told him that you would wish personally to participate in any discussion of this question and that I would appreciate his letting me know in advance if the matter were in fact to be introduced for discussion.

25X1A

Attachment  
cc: DDCI wo/att.

[Redacted] 25X1A

## Distribution:

Orig & 1 - DCI w/att.  
 1 - DDCI wo/att.  
 1 - DDI "  
 1 - DDP "  
 1 - [Redacted]  
 1 - C/IO "  
 1 - DDP Reg "

25X1A

3

~~SECRET~~  
CONFIDENTIAL

UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP					
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS		INITIALS	DATE	
1	DDI <span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 150px; height: 25px; vertical-align: middle;"></span>				
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY		PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL		DISPATCH		RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT		FILE		RETURN	
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION		SIGNATURE	
<b>Remarks:</b>  <p>Mr. Amory:</p> <p>As you will note from paragraph 4 of the annexed paper, I have persuaded Wally Nielsen to postpone consideration of the Sprague Committee paper on the Soviet bloc.</p> <p><i>See letter memo</i> <span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 150px; height: 25px; vertical-align: middle;"></span></p> <p style="text-align: right;">STATINTL</p>					
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER					
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.				DATE	
<span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 350px; height: 20px;"></span>				19 May 60	
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	

FORM NO. 237  
1 APR 55

Replaces Form 30-4  
which may be used.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1955—O—342531

(40)

---

*Attachment # 2  
Not included*

✓  
Subject papers not  
attached  
on doc. 4 or 5